

# Avant Quality Improvement Grants Program 2016 Evaluation Criteria



Dimension	Weighting	Evaluation Criteria			
Quality Improvement Experience	15	Several examples of quality experience with demonstrated outcomes experience 15	Some examples of quality experience or scholarly research experience 10	Limited examples of quality experience or scholarly research experience 5	No examples of quality experience or scholarly research experience 0
Leadership Experience	15	Highly successful track record of project leadership experience 15	Moderate track record of project leadership experience 10	Some track record of project leadership experience 5	No track record of project leadership experience 0
Topic Area	15	Project falls within a preferred topic area • Handover/ Continuity of Care • Communication/ Health Literacy • E-Health and Medical Records • Appropriate and Evidence Based Care • Practice Systems that Impact on Patient Safety • Quality Use of Medicines • Missed and Delayed Diagnosis 15	Project is somewhat related to a preferred topic area 10	Project does not fall within a preferred topic area 5	
Continuing Project	5	Project is new and has not been commenced previously under other funding 5	Project was commenced without funding and not completed 3	Project was commenced under other funding and has not been completed 0	
Project Design	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clear rationale</li> <li>• Well informed by literature</li> <li>• Clear and feasible project plan using known QI methods</li> <li>• Novel project</li> <li>• Appropriate intervention plan</li> <li>• Appropriate outcomes measures and analysis</li> </ul> 20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clear rationale</li> <li>• Partially informed by literature</li> <li>• Clear and feasible project plan</li> <li>• May be novel</li> <li>• Somewhat appropriate intervention plan</li> <li>• Generally appropriate outcomes measures and analysis</li> </ul> 15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Unclear rationale</li> <li>• Partially informed by literature</li> <li>• Lack of clarity and feasibility in project plan</li> <li>• Not novel</li> <li>• Questionable intervention plan</li> <li>• Questionable outcomes measures and analysis</li> </ul> 10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Unclear rationale</li> <li>• Not adequately informed by literature</li> <li>• No clear and feasible project plan</li> <li>• Not novel</li> <li>• Questionable intervention plan</li> <li>• Questionable outcomes measures and analysis</li> </ul> 5
Sustainability of Project Outcomes	15	Significant potential for the project to contribute to quality improvement on an ongoing basis and spread to other related settings 15	Some potential for the project to contribute to quality improvement on an ongoing basis 10	Limited potential for the project to contribute to quality improvement on an ongoing basis 5	Little or no potential for the project to contribute to quality improvement on an ongoing basis 0
Ongoing Impact	10	Highly likely to make a significant impact on quality of healthcare or health policy 10	Somewhat likely to make a significant impact on quality of healthcare or health policy 5	Unlikely to make a significant impact on quality of healthcare or health policy 3	Will not make a significant impact on quality of healthcare or health policy 0
Relative Importance of Funding	5	Highly dependent on Avant funding to complete project 5	Somewhat dependent on Avant funding to complete project 3	Minimally dependent on Avant funding to complete project 1	Not at all dependent on Avant funding to complete project 0